

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO Box 1450 Alexandra. Vigonia 22313-1450 www.nsplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/809,738	03/14/2001	John Tiano	Tiano 5004		
75	90 06/03/2003				
Donald O. Nickey			EXAMINER		
8765 Colvin Dr Plain City, OH			SHERRER, CUR	TIS EDWARD	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1761	P	
		DATE MAILED: 06/03/2003	,		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					A S.9			
		Application I	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/809,738		TIANO ET AL.				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
		Curtis E. She	rrer	1761				
 Period for	The MAILING DATE of this communica Reply	tion appears on the co	ver sheet with the	correspondence addre	9SS			
THE MA - Extensi after SI - If the pe - If NO pe - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA ons of time may be available under the provisions of 3 k (5) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) deriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statute to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by received by the Office later than three months after to patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, location.  ays, a reply within the statutory ory period will apply and will ex, by statute, cause the applicati	nowever, may a reply be ti minimum of thirty (30) da bire SIX (6) MONTHS fror on to become ABANDON	imely filed  ys will be considered timely.  n the mailing date of this comn  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nunication.			
1)[	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>03/13/03</u> .						
2a)⊡	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)	☐ This action is no	n-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims								
4)[ <u> </u>	claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are pending in	n the application.						
4:	a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consi	deration.					
5) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6) <u></u> C	6) Claim(s) 1-4 and 7-10 is/are rejected.							
7) 🗌 C	claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) <u> </u>	claim(s) are subject to restrictio	n and/or election requ	iirement.					
Applicatio	n Papers							
	ne specification is objected to by the E							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
,	ne oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.						
•	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
,—	cknowledgment is made of a claim fo	r foreign priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119(	(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[_	All b) Some * c) None of:							
1	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2	. Certified copies of the priority do	cuments have been r	eceived in Applica	tion No				
	. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ethe attached detailed Office action from the action	onal Bureau (PCT Ru	le 17.2(a)).		age			
14) <u></u> Ac	knowledgment is made of a claim for o	domestic priority unde	er 35 U.S.C. § 119	(e) (to a provisional a	pplication).			
,	☐ The translation of the foreign langusknowledgment is made of a claim for							
Attachment(s	s)							
2) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO ition Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pape	-948) 5)	Notice of Informa	ry (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-1				

Application/Control Number: 09/809,738

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 8-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 8 is indefinite because the percentages, when added up exceed 100%.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkins Ready-to-Drink Shakes for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Claims 1-4 and 7-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Atkins Shake Mix (New York Times, Jan. 11, 2000) in light of Atkins Cappuccino Shake Mix label for the reasons set forth in the last Office Action.

Application/Control Number: 09/809,738

Art Unit: 1761

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 03/13/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues that there is no motivation to add the omega fats to the prior art drinks. As admitted to by applicant, on page 7 of the instant specification, the use of omega fats is based on the Recommended Daily Allowance (RDA). Therefore, because the government suggest that it is beneficial for people to ingest omega fats on a daily basis, it would have been obvious to those of ordinary skill in the art to add omega fats to the prior art drinks.

Applicant also argues that finding the proper simple sugar substitutes is a challenge.

Because applicant does not claim said sugar substitutes, the argument is not found persuasive.

Applicant argues that the claimed invention demonstrates unexpected results over the prior art products but fails to provide any details with regard to said results.

It is again noted that because the ingredients of the claimed drinks are all well known in the drink art, and in light of the holding of *In re Levin*, the prior art rejections present a prima facie case of obviousness.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

Art Unit: 1761

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Curtis E. Sherrer whose telephone number is 703-308-3847. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday, 8AM-6:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 703-308-3959. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3602 for regular communications and 703-305-3602 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Curtis E. Sherrer Primary Examiner

May 30, 2003